



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,633	11/14/2006	Shite Sebastian	14964	4672
25570	7590	11/06/2009		
ROBERT'S MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.				
Intellectual Property Department				
P.O. Box 10064				
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064				
EXAMINER				
OGUNBIYI, OLUWATOSIN A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1645				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/06/2009		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugh@rmsclaw.com  
dbeltran@rmsclaw.com  
bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/576,633	SEBASTIAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	OLUWATOSIN OGUNBIYI	1645	

**All Participants:**

(1) OLUWATOSIN OGUNBIYI.

(2) George Allen.

**Date of Interview:** 28 October 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*n/a*

**Claims discussed:**

*n/a*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*n/a*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Allen was contacted because the poster print out submitted along with the declaration filed 9/8/09 was not clear. Mr. Allen faxed a copy but that wasn't clear either. Mr. Allen suggested that an email copy might be clearer. The email copy was received 10/28/09 along with another copy of the printed proposed publication also submitted along with the 9/8/09 publication. Both documents have been printed including the email and has been filed into the record with the doc code AF/D.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)